6/7/76

V\$18

R

UNCLASSIFIED



Department of State

TELEGRAM

AN: D760219-0755

ARGENTINA PROJECT (S200000044)

(X) Release () Excise () Deny

(V) In Pull—

() Classify as _ () Extend as _ () Downgrade to

__ Declassify on 🟂

U.S. DEPT. OF STATE, A/RPS/IPS

Margaret P. Grafeld, Director

Declassify: () In Part

Exemption(s):

PAGE 01 STATE 139624

71 ORIGIN ARA-10

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 DHA-02 L-03 H-02 CIAE-00 INR-07 NSAE-00

PM-04 NSC-05 SP-02 SS-15 /051 R

DRAFTED BY ARA/APU: JMSMITH; JR:NR

APPROVED BY ARA: HARYAN

012155

P 072354Z JUN 76 FM SECSTATE WASHDC TO AMEMBASSY BUENOS AIRES PRIORITY

STATE 139624

51A(C) 1030

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: SHUM, AR

SUBJECT: ARREST OF JACOBO TIEFFENBERG

REF: BUENOS AIRES 3346

1. ACTING ASSISTANT SECRETARY RYAN AGAIN DISCUSSED TIEFFENBERG CASE WITH AMBASSADOR VAZQUEZ ON JUNE 4. VAZQUEZ SAID HE HAD DISCUSSED THE CASE WITH SEVERAL HIGH LEVEL GOA OFFICIALS AND HAD STRESSED THE NEED FOR CLARIFICATION IN VIEW OF THE INTEREST OF INFLUENTIAL US INDIVIDUALS AND INSTITUTIONS IN TIEFFENBERG AND THE ANTISEMITIC ASPECTS OF THE CASE.

2. DR. JULIAN LEVI OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO CALLED THE DEPARTMENT JUNE 4 TO SAY THAT TIEFFENBERG'S FATHER, WHO IS NOW IN THE US, HAD JUST RECEIVED A TELEPHONE CALL FROM HIS WIFE SAYING SHE HAD GONE TO THE BARRACKS WHERE HER SON HAD BEEN HELD AND HAD BEEN TOLD THAT THERE WERE NO PRISONERS THERE. DR. LEV.I SAID HE WAS CONSIDERING CALLING ON THE UNIVERSITY'S TRUSTEES, AN IMPRESSIVE ROSTER OF US BUSINESS AND BANKING FIRMS, TO PUT PRESSURE ON THE GOA.

PAGE O2 STATE 139624

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

3. PLEASE CONTINUE TO FOLLOW THIS CASE CAREFULLY AND REPORT ANY FURTHER DEVELOPMENTS. IN ANY CONVERSATIONS YOU

MAY HAVE WITH ARGENTINE OFFICIALS YOU MAY WISH TO POINT GUT THAT THE ANTI-SEMITIC ASPECTS OF THE CASE COULD MAKE IT VERY DAMAGING TO ARGENTINA'S IMAGE. ROBINSON

UNCLASSIFIED

INDICATE

21/185

Amembassy Buenos Aires cu \$49 **GDS** E.O. 11652; SREF, AR TAGS: Refugees in Argentina SUBJECT: SecState WASHDC IMMEDIATE ACTION: Info: USMission GENEVA PRIORITY AmEmbassy SANTIAGO PRIORITY Amembassy ASUNCION PRIORITY AmEmbassy LIMA PRIORITY Amembassy MONTEVIDEO PRIORITY BUENOS AIRES 3766 A) State 136607, ** B) State 137156 ** (*) Ref: Our response to reftel A overlaps to considerable extent AMB with septel in response to reftel B. They might well be read together. ECOM protect), USIS UNHCR USDAO gave us detailed report June 4 of refugee MILGP-2 LEGAT SCIAT situation as seen by UNHCR. He spoke very frankly and asked RF CHRON that we respect his confidence; he should not be identified DOM by name or position in any use made of this material. of Geneva UNHCR office was here until May 26. He did not make a very good impression on Embassy officials. There are presently about 15,000 refugees in Argentina CONTENTS AND CLASSIFICATION APPROVED BY DRAFTED BY: DRAFTING DATE POL: RSSteven: mg 6/7/76 POL: WSSmith CLEARANCES: MB:RCHill POL/R: DLSmock LEGAT: RWScherrer L DCM: MChaplin CONS: GOHuey ARGENTINA PROJECT (S200000044) J.S. DEPT. OF STATE, A/RPS/IPS 4argaret P. Grafeld, Director 80F178 (X) Excise, () Deny CLASSIFICATION) Refease

exemption(s):_

Declassify: () In Part

(XIn Full

) Classify as _ () Extend as _ () Downgrade to _ __ Declassify on _____ Reason .

36

Classification

UNCLASSIFIED

by UNHCR estimate. About 10,000 of these are Chileans, with Uruguayans dominating in remaining 5,000. Some 4,000 Chilean refugees have left Argentina by one means or another. Only about 2,000 of the total of 15,000 refugees have legal resident status in Argentina. UNHCR estimates that about 1,000 of the Chileans and 300 to 400 of the others could be considered to be in danger from the security forces or rightist extremists, either of Argentina or their native country. UNHCR is actively involved in trying to assist about 100 refugees detained by GOA who apparently will be allowed to leave if places abroad can be found for them. UNHCR is having great difficulty in finding places of refuge for those who wish to leave. In most desperate cases, of those in immediate danger, UN turns to Sweden, France, Holland and one or two LA countries which can be counted upon to respond with visas, but even these are increasingly reluctant to take refugees.

- 4. UNHCR does not find GOA helpful on refugee matters.

 Indeed, refugees have learned that presentation of UNHCR

 credential to Argentine police is likely to result in even

 harsher treatment than normal when detained. was

 unable to obtain appointment with anyone of Ministerial

 rank when he was here. (He complained to us about shortage

 of funds.)
- 5. Matter of killings of prominent exile refugees is

FORM 4-68 FS-413A CONTINUATION SHEET Classification

UNCLASSIFIED

discussed in reftel B. In sum, we feel that it is possible but not proved that regional govts (or, more likely, elements in them) may be cooperating in elimination of certain prominent political refugees.

- 6. Matter of forcible repatriation of Chileans from
 Argentina to Chile was raised in State 116688, and replied
 to at length in Buenos Aires 3234. As pointed out there,
 GOA has given assurances that refugees will not be forced to
 return to own countries. However, definition of "refugee"
 may not be same for GOA as it is for UNHCR, and we (and
 Santiago) have impression that security forces of the two
 countries may well exchange some individual prisoners.
 Neither we nor UNHCR rep here have reason to conclude that
 there has been any great number of refugees thus returned.
 These comments apply to the situation of other groups such
 as the Uruguayan refugees, etc.
- 7. UNHCR has informed us that it is dealing at this moment with case of a Chilean held in GOA custody. GOA has agreed to permit this individual to leave Argentina under UNHCR auspices, and has given that organization ten days to find a destination, with warning that Chilean will be deported to Chile at end of that time if UN has not found a place for him to go. UN is not sure that threat is meant seriously; it may well be tactic of Argentine migration officials to pressure UN to find a refuge. UN would protest and appeal

FORM 4-68 FS-413A CONTINUATION SHEET




UNCLASSIFIED

if such deportation imminent. Such expulsions from Argentina may now be legally accomplished for national security reasons under decree of GOA. We will wish to give careful advance thought to any USG criticism of use of this type of involuntary repatriation, as it was under that legislation (Iaw 21,259) that an Italian, a French, and a Chilean national were recently delivered involuntarily into our hands by the GOA (on narcotics charges).

Classification

- 8. We understand that there is growing concern and in some cases near panic among refugee groups living here as result of deaths of Michelini, Gutierrez, and Torres, and rumors of disappearances of other refugees. UNHCR expects increasing pressure for settlement abroad from the more prominent or militant members of the generally leftist groups, especially Chileans and Uruguayans.
- 9. UNHCR rep himself raised possibility of a US parole program for refugees from Argentina. He felt it would be a useful contribution to easing of situation, but that it would be unlikely to have much impact. He explained that he had observed operation of our parole program in Lima, and knew views of refugees there and here concerning it. Asking us to understand his personal sympathy for US, but his frankness as well, he said he felt our program was so restrictive and badly administered that it did little good. Refugees interviewed by some US immigration officers in

FORM 4-68 FS-413A CONTINUATION SHEET



UNCLASSIFIED

Lima, not rpt not consular officers, quickly spread word that interviewers were hostile, regarded even "moderate" leftist activity as disqualifying, and insulted refugees. Subsequent applicants then minimized political involvement in Chile, or invented stories, in effort meet US standards, but often thereby left themselves in position of appearing to be only "economic" refugees and therefore also failing to qualify. UN rep thought we would get applications here only from rank and file refugees most of whom in no real danger in Argentina, while more politically active who are in danger either would not qualify for US parole or would disdain to go to US on political grounds. He said UNHCR would welcome a US program in any event, as it was becoming extremely difficult to find any haven for such refugees. We believe on balance that eventual establishment of such a program might be useful in Argentina, if it included selected Argentine parolees as well as Chileans and other refugees. We do not share rather negative assessment of UN representative, and believe program could be implemented in such a way as to take advantage of experience gained in Chilean parole program. It would be a humanitarian gesture and might be welcomed by the GOA as way to reduce its burden of refugees. On other hand, it would be difficult to limit program to very restricted numbers contemplated in Chilean parole program when potential pool of applicants exceeds

FORM 4-68 FS-413A CONTINUATION SHEET Classification
UNCLASSIFIED

security problem.

10,000 for non-Argentine refugees alone. Inherent restrictions of US immigration law and exclusion of "economic" refugees would be likely create same disappointment of expectations cited by UNHCR official. Program would also of course require establishment in Buenos Aires of TDY consular/ immigration group to run program, adding to our

11. There is not sufficient justification for a parole program yet, and there may not be in future. Despite concern over the attacks on such figures as Torres, we do not yet see a situation resembling that of Chile, where we understand that most applicants for parole are under GOC detention. Few potential applicants here are in custody. Only if situation becomes much worse, and only if program could include Argentines as well as foreign refugees, could we recommend implementation of a parole program here.

FORM 4-68 FS-413A CONTINUATION SHEET Classification
UNGLASSIFIED