

~~Secret~~  
NOFORN



# Weekly Summary

~~Secret~~

October 8, 1976

Copy No. 1413

~~SECRET~~

NR

## South America: Cooperation Among Military Regimes

15C

[REDACTED]

Circumstance and mutual interest are drawing leaders of the southern cone countries—Argentina, Chile, Uruguay, and Paraguay—into closer political and economic cooperation. The army-controlled governments of these countries share a ubiquitous fear of communist subversion, a growing feeling of isolation from the rest of the world, and a concern that they are being abandoned by the US.

Brazil and Bolivia are beginning to participate in the relationship but have reservations for one reason or another. A close-knit alliance of these countries could have important implications for the US.

The southern cone regimes purport to be "democratic," but are clearly authoritarian. The men in power do not get their support from political groups, but from the military.

Most of the military leaders are convinced they can exert a modernizing influence on government through increased efficiency and rationality. Most believe

they are better qualified to govern than civilian politicians.

The views of these military leaders seem to be coalescing into a still-unformulated philosophy of military administration in which an abhorrence of disorder, distrust of the old politics, and dedication to social and economic progress are the driving forces.

### Fear of Subversion

The military leaders believe the primary national concern should be security. The principal enemies are leftist terrorism and international communism. Preserving the nation, in their view, must take precedence over personal well-being and individual freedom.

The preoccupation with security may seem excessive, but for the countries that have participated in a struggle against terrorists, the fight is real. It is true, for instance, that political violence in Argentina took more lives last year than the total killed in Northern Ireland during the past five years. All of the southern cone countries, to one extent or another, feel

threatened by terrorist violence.

### Isolation

Most of the countries suffer from a poor image in the world press and in international forums. The military governments are variously described as "totalitarian" and "fascist." One [REDACTED] official lamented [REDACTED] that the Israelis are praised for staging a raid into Africa against terrorists, but similar counterterrorist activities in Brazil are called excessive and cruel in the world press.

Leaders in the southern cone also believe that investigations by unofficial and official bodies such as Amnesty International and the UN Commission on Human Rights are overzealous and misguided. The leaders think they should have the right to eliminate terrorists without foreign interference.

Chilean leaders in particular are weary of "international interference," and profess to see the recent murder in Washington of former ambassador

~~SECRET~~



~~SECRET~~

Letelier as part of a plot to discredit the nation. All of these countries believe they are the victims of an international campaign led by the communists.

#### Close Cooperation

The original impetus for cooperation among the southern cone countries probably came from Chile. Following the coup in 1973, the Chilean regime was anxious to acquire friends and military equipment for protection against a perceived threat from Peru.

The Chileans first approached Brazil and came away with the belief that Brazil at least would lend a hand indirectly if Chile were invaded. Chile then approached Bolivia and proposed a renewal of discussions on an outlet to the sea. Diplomatic relations between the two countries were re-established in February 1975.

The military coup in Argentina last March brought Buenos Aires into step with its neighbors. The Argentines increasingly began talking of "new realities" in hemisphere affairs and cooperation among all of the southern cone countries including Brazil.

After a period of concentration on the internal security situation and the economy, the Argentine government finally felt secure enough early last summer to implement some new foreign policy initiatives. In June, the Foreign Ministry sent carefully selected ambassadors to Bolivia, Brazil, Chile, and Paraguay. High Argentine officials also began visiting around the continent to discuss topics of mutual interest.

The extent of security cooperation was evident this summer during several incidents in which joint counteraubversive operations were mounted against refugees and terrorists.

Security cooperation now has been augmented by an agreement among the governments of Argentina, Bolivia, Chile, Paraguay, and Uruguay to coordinate positions on international political matters, particularly the threat posed by terrorism and communism. The coordination will be conducted secretly so that each country will appear to be operating in an independent manner. The group hopes to bring Brazil into the arrangement.

#### Current Status of Cooperation

Diplomatic activity in the southern cone has continued. Argentina and Brazil reportedly have had discussions on creating a "South Atlantic Treaty Organization." This naval alliance is envisaged as a defense of the South Atlantic against the Soviet-Cuban presence in southern Africa. The Brazilians, so far, have dismissed talk of an alliance as nonsense, but rumors persist.

Argentina and Paraguay agreed this month to increase trade by eliminating customs barriers. They also said they would stimulate complementary joint industrial projects. Argentine President Videla met with Uruguayan President Mendez and agreed to increase cooperation between the two governments. Videla is scheduled to visit Chile and Bolivia at the end of this month.

Chilean diplomacy continues to be directed against Peru, but improved relations between the two countries have diminished the urgency of the Chilean effort. Chile is continuing to talk with Bolivia about an outlet to the sea. Chilean willingness to discuss the problem and Peruvian intransigence have improved relations between Chile and Bolivia.

Chile is working to increase trade relations with Paraguay and Uruguay. It is already involved in a "joint integration commission" with Argentina and also hopes to increase trade with that country.

Chile has concluded that the Andean Pact restricts development and that there is a better market for Chilean products in the southern cone.

Brazil, Paraguay, and Uruguay also have been discussing trade and cooperation. Brazil and Paraguay already are involved in the construction of the Itaipu hydroelectric project on the Parana River. Paraguay and Uruguay have just launched a joint commission; its first task is to eliminate customs restrictions between the two countries.

Many intangibles will affect the extent of southern cone cooperation. There are many reasons why these countries would be drawn together in some sort of an alliance, but there are also old antagonisms.

The great imponderable is Brazil—the only country in the area with true global aspirations. Until now, Brazil has been lukewarm toward an extensive alliance with neighboring countries. Whether or not Brazil can be enticed into joining the group may be one key factor in the extent and direction of southern cone cooperation. A lasting improvement in relations between Argentina and Brazil would be a difficult achievement under any conditions, but the leaders of both nations may now be prepared to try to accomplish just that.

Continued perception of mutual interest among all of these countries is the most important element in the future of their relationship. A prolongation of terrorist activities in the southern cone will drive these countries into further cooperation.

Continued US criticism for human rights violations will heighten the sense of abandonment already prevailing in the area and intensify the feeling of isolation and frustration. This could foster closer cooperation and increasing protests against US interference in internal affairs.

Chilean and Uruguayan leaders have already suggested publicly that their continued swallowing of criticism from the US on human rights issues is not worth the limited assistance they now get from Washington.

~~SECRET~~